

CIVIL DOCKET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

9

TITLE OF CASE		ATTORNEYS			
<p>Ferro Union Corporation, a corporation,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>David I. Plost and Tulsa Steel Distributing Company, Inc., a corporation</p>		<p>For plaintiff:</p> <p>Gable, Gotwals, Hays, Rubin & Fox; Richard W. Gable LU 2-9201 2010 4th Natl. Bk. Bldg. Tulsa, Okla. 74119</p>			
		<p>For defendant:</p> <p>Charles A. Whitebook Whitebook and Raskin 415 Wright Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103</p>			
STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS	DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	REC.	DISB.
J.S. 5 mailed 5-1-69	Clerk	4-16-69	Gable	15 00	
		4-17-69	C/D 45		15 00
J.S. 6 mailed 4-1-70	Marshal				
Basis of Action: Judg. for Merchandise sold on open account	Docket fee				
	Witness fees				
Action arose at: Tulsa, Ok.	Depositions				

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
4-16-69	Complaint, filed. x summons issued x b	
5-1-69	Return on summons, filed. Served David I. Plost personally in Tulsa, Okla., and Tulsa Steel Distributing Company, Inc., by serving David I. Plost, Serv. Agent in Tulsa, Okla. on 4-29-69. (Fees: \$12.84)m	
5-19-69	Application for extension of time to file pleadings or answer, filed. m	
5-19-69	Order extending time to answer or plead to 6-4-69, filed. (MME-Clerk)m	
6-9-69	Joint motion for consolidation, filed. m	
6-12-69	Stipulation for extension of time in which to plead or answer, filed. g	
6-12-69	Order extending time for defendant to answer to June 30, 1969, filed. (AEB-J)g	
6-24-69	Deposition of David I. Plost, taken before Thomas G. Bloxom, CSR, on June 2, 1969, filed. ds (\$82.00 pd by defendants)	
6-30-69	Defendants' motion for more definite statement and alternative motion to dismiss, and brief, filed. b	
7-10-69	Order of July 9, 1969, consolidating Civil Actions No. 69-C-66 and 69-C-67 with this case. (Allen E. Barrow - Judge) copies mailed counsel.	
8-19-69	Plaintiff's brief in opposition to motion for more definite statement and in the alternative motion to dismiss, filed. m	
8-28-69	Response to Plaintiff's Brief in opposition to Motion for more definite Statement filed. h	
10-13-69	Order, that motion for more definite statement and motion to dismiss are overruled, & Further ordered that defendants file their answer within 15 days of this date. (AEB-J) ds	
10-28-69	Stipulation and Application For Extension of Time to Answer, filed. js	
10-28-69	Order Extending Time, filed (AEB-J), granted until the 28th day of November, 1969. js	
11-28-69	Separate answer of the deft. David I. Plost, filed. m	
11-28-69	Separate answer and counterclaim for deft. Tulsa Steel Distributing Company, Inc., A Corporation, filed. m	
1-6-70	Minute Order: Parties directed to hold pre-trial prior to 2-6-70, and file pre-trial order not later than 2-12-70. (AEB-J)g	
3-5-70	Judgment filed and entered that the plaintiff, Ferro Union Corporation, should have judgment against the defendants, David I. Plost and Tulsa Steel Distributing Company, Inc., in the amount of \$26,627.73 plus interest thereon at the rate of 10% from 12-31-68 and attorney fees of \$1,500.00. (Allen E. Barrow - Judge)	
3-5-70	Release and satisfaction of judgment, filed. b	